

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/716,258	01/15/2004	James Garth Close		6525
759	90 01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
Rick B. Yeager			NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE	
10805 Mellow L Austin, TX 78			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
71451111, 771 70	707		3634	***

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,258	CLOSE, JAMES GARTH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	lovember 2003.		
· — · · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under B			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 31-47</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1 and 31-47 are subject to restriction	and/or election requiremen	t.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)	🗂	(070.445)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/716,258 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 31-33; and 47, drawn to a product display base, classified in class 211, subclass 59.2.

II. Claims 34-46, drawn to a product display system, classified in class 211, subclass 59.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as se without a shelf, a plurality of pull members and/or a merchandise item, as required in claim 34. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (i) Figures 1A-1E, 4A, and 4B;
- (ii) Figures 2A-2E;
- (iii) Figures 3A-3C;

Application/Control Number: 10/716,258 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

(iv) Figures 4C and 4D; and

(v) Figures 13A-13C and 18A-18D

If applicant elects species (v), then applicant must elect from on of the following patentably distinct sub-species:

(a) Figure 14A;

(b) Figure 14B;

(c) Figure 15;

(d) Figures 16A-16D;

(e) Figure 17A; and

(f) Figure 17B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are deemed to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3634

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is 571-272-6832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard E. Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

. .

Application/Control Number: 10/716,258 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer E. Novosad Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

December 28, 2005